1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025.v2) UNITED ACADEMICS MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON MEDIATION PROPOSAL (1/24/2025) UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/21/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (11/13/2024) UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (10/31/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (10/17/2024) UNITED ACADEMICS COUNTERPROPOSAL (09/26/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/26/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/26/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (8/13/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (7/15/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (5/2/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (5/2/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (4/18/2024) UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (4/4/2024)
15	UNIVERSITY OF OREGON COUNTERPROPOSAL (2/29/2024)
16	UNITED ACADEMICS PROPOSAL (2/15/2024)
17 18	Document Key
10 19	UA new UA deletion UO new UO deletion Accepted Deleted Status Quo Restored
20	
21 22	[Package. This is a package proposal with Article 15, 19, 20, and 34.]
23	ARTICLE 19. CAREER FACULTY REVIEW AND PROMOTION
24 25 26 27	Preamble. Career appointments are either Career instructional or Career research for the purposes of this Article.
28 29 30 31	Career instructional faculty are those with appointments in the Career classification in the following categories: Instructor, Lecturer, Librarian, Clinical Professor (when an instructional appointment), Professor of Practice, and Teaching Professor.
32 33 34 35 36	Career research faculty are those with appointments in the Career classification in the following categories: Research Professor, Clinical Professor (when a research appointment), Research Assistant, Research Associate, Research Scientist, Research Engineer, and Principal Research Scientist.
37 38	Career Faculty Review and Promotion
39 40 41 42	Section 1. Reviews for Career faculty will include reviews associated with performance, promotion, and continuous employment. A performance review will not be required in the year a bargaining unit faculty member has a promotion or continuous employment review.
42 43 44 45 46 47	Section 2. Policies and Procedures. Each department or unit that employs Career faculty will maintain unit-level policies for Career faculty review and promotion in accordance with Article 4.

48 Career Faculty Performance Reviews49

Section 3. Performance Reviews. Performance reviews for Career faculty are for the purpose of 50 determining if the faculty member is meeting the standard of excellence appropriate to a Career 51 instructional or Career research faculty member at an AAU institution based on their job duties. 52 Performance reviews should be designed to help Career faculty members grow as educators, 53 scholars, and researchers, as appropriate; identify areas of strength; and identify areas that need 54 55 improvement associated with their position. Career performance reviews should include a stageappropriate assessment of the likelihood of success in a subsequent promotion review. As part of 56 P-performance reviews, also allow supervisors of Career faculty members with a position 57 description should take the opportunity to consult to shall consult with as needed or at the request 58 of collaborate those Career faculty members on keeping to keep the position description up to 59 date as an accurate reflection of the position. Performance reviews shall consider any lack of 60 resources necessary to the performance of professional responsibilities that were identified in 61 previous workload discussions (Article 17, Section 3). account for any lack of support and 62 services necessary to the performance of professional responsibilities . Bargaining unit faculty 63 members who have not received from the University the material support and services to perform 64 their professional responsibilities shall be held harmless for those particular professional 65 responsibilities in performance review and promotion processes. 66 67 Section 4. Performance Review Timing. 68 69 70 a. Career instructional faculty will have a performance review each year for the first three years of their employment and at least once every three years thereafter (academic years 71 for 9-month appointments and fiscal years for 12-month appointments). The three-year 72 73 schedule is reset after a successful promotion or continuous employment review. 74 b. Career research faculty will have a performance review annually, which will also serve as 75 the basis for distributions if a merit pool is agreed to in Article 26. In units that utilize 76 performance reviews as the basis for distribution of a merit pool to Career research 77 faculty, all All performance reviews conducted during the period of evaluation for merit 78 shall be taken into account. 79 80 c. Performance reviews may take place out of cycle when a department or unit head has 81 identified or become aware of performance problems. The department or unit head shall 82 meet with the Career faculty member to discuss areas of concern and evaluate whether a 83 formal out-of-cycle performance review or performance improvement plan (Section 6.e) 84 is warranted. Nothing in this Article changes the process for addressing poor performance 85 86 for funding-contingent faculty set forth in Article 16, Section 18. 87 88 Section 5. Performance Review Period and Criteria. Reviews will consider the Career bargaining unit faculty member's performance since their last review. Career faculty members 89 will be evaluated only by the criteria approved and made available to them. If the criteria have 90 changed since their previous review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current 91 set of criteria. 92 93

94	Sectio	n 6. Performance Review Process.
95	_	
96 07	a.	
97 08		submit a brief personal statement (no more than three pages) containing information relevant to their performance of assigned duties and responsibilities.
98 99		relevant to their performance of assigned duties and responsionnies.
100	h	The review process will include an opportunity for the Career faculty member to discuss
100	0.	their efforts, performance, and goals or improvement opportunities with an appropriate
101		supervisor, department, or unit head at least once during each review period.
103		superviser, asparanent, et ante nead at reast ence daring each review period.
104	c.	Performance reviews must include a determination whether the Career faculty member
105		meets , exceeds or does not meet expectations in each of their assigned duties. as follows:
106		[We are postponing discussion on this provision until we come to an agreement regarding merit
107		review provision]
108		
109		i. When the review is used in conjunction with a salary determination (i.e., merit),
110		the performance review must include a determination whether of if the Career
111		faculty member meets, exceeds, or does not meet expectations in each of their
112		assigned duties.
113		
114		ii. When the review is not in conjunction with a salary determination, the
115		performance review must include a determination whether of if the Career faculty
116		member meets, exceeds, or does not meet expectations in each of their assigned
117		duties. If any salary increases not contemplated at the time of the performance
118		review require a differentiation between meets and exceeds expectations, the determination will default to exceeds expectations.
119 120		determination with default to exceeds expectations.
120	d.	The supervisor, department, or unit head will summarize, in writing, any committee or
122	u .	peer review along with their own assessment and will communicate the results of the
123		review and provide a copy of their summary in writing to the bargaining unit faculty
124		member. The faculty member will have 10 days from the date of the receipt of the report
125		to provide a response, which shall be appended to the completed performance review.
126		
127	e.	If the determination of the performance review is that the Career faculty member does not
128		meet expectations in one or more of their assigned duties, the supervisor, department, or
129		unit head will meet with the faculty member to discuss a performance improvement plan,
130		which will include written documentation of the areas for improvement, instructions to
131		meet expectations in those areas, a timeline to carry out those instructions, and an explicit
132		timeframe for assessing progress. The performance improvement plan will be signed by
133		the supervisor, faculty member, and vice president, vice provost, dean, or director.
134		
135		If that follow-up progress assessment, which may be a performance review, determines
136		that the Career faculty member still does not meet expectations in one or more of the
137 138		assigned duties previously identified as areas of concern, that Career faculty member may be subject to layoff (Article 16, Section 12.a.).
138		be subject to layour (Article 10, Section 12.a.).

140

141 Career Promotion Reviews

142

143 General Career Promotion Review Considerations

144

Section 7. Accelerated Review. An accelerated promotion review may occur in particularly
 meritorious cases as determined by the Office of the Provost in consultation with the appropriate
 vice president, vice provost, dean, department or unit head, and the bargaining unit faculty
 member.

149

150 Section 8. Credit for Prior Service. Bargaining unit faculty members reclassified or hired into Career positions from Pro Tem positions shall receive an assessment of credit towards promotion 151 equivalent to the faculty member's Pro Tem employment for work within the same category (i.e., 152 instructional or research) of employment for work of a comparable character by the Office of the 153 Provost, after consultation with the hiring unit head. The assessment may result in full credit, 154 partial credit, or no credit towards promotion, depending on the proportion of comparable work. 155 Bargaining unit faculty members in positions reclassified under Article 15, Section 8 to Career 156 from Pro Tem will receive credit toward promotion when such. all faculty employment was at 157 0.5 annualized FTE or greater and provided the bargaining unit faculty member y have met 158 159 expectations when reviewed. When work is not of comparable character not within the same category of employment, the bargaining unit member shall receive an assessment of credit 160 towards promotion by the Office of the Provost. Bargaining unit faculty members at 0.5 161 annualized FTE or greater who have met expectations in the pro tem position at their most recent 162 review reclassified from Pro Tem to Career positions may shall receive credit towards promotion 163 when appropriate. to the same extent that they would have received credit had they been 164 classified as Career faculty. for the number of years employed as Pro Tem faculty. When a 165 bargaining unit faculty member receives credit for prior service is agreed upon, the terms of hire 166 or reclassification will state the number of years of credit granted for comparable work, specific 167 review considerations, and the earliest date for promotion eligibility. Bargaining unit faculty 168 members reclassified from Pro Tem to Career positions will receive credit towards promotion for 169 the number of years employed as Pro Tem faculty. 170 171 Section 9. Reapplication for Promotion. An unsuccessful candidate for promotion may 172 continue employment at their current rank as long as eligible to do so under this Agreement. 173 Career faculty bargaining unit members who are denied promotion may reapply for promotion 174 after having been employed by the university for an additional three years or longer at an average 175 of 0.5 annualized FTE per year, accrued at no greater than three terms per academic year for 176 bargaining unit faculty on 9-month appointments, and at four terms per year for bargaining unit 177 178 faculty on 12-month appointments. 179 Section 10. Appeal of Promotion Denial. Faculty who are denied promotion may appeal the 180

- decision through the procedures in Article 21, Tenure and Promotion Denial Appeal.
- 182
- 183 Section 11. Withdrawal of Application. A candidate may withdraw an application for
- 184 promotion in writing to the Provost and the dean at any time before the Provost's decision.
- 185

186	
187	Career Instructional Promotion Reviews
188	
189	Section 12. Eligibility for Promotion. Career instructional faculty may elect to initiate the
190	promotion process when eligible to do so. Career faculty members will be eligible for promotion
191	after accumulating six years of employment less any credit for prior service granted (Section 8)
192	as a faculty member at or above an average of 0.5 annualized FTE over the six years, accrued at
193	no greater than three terms per academic year for bargaining unit faculty with 9-month
194	appointments, and at four terms per year for bargaining unit faculty with 12-month
195	appointments. The six years of employment do not have to be consecutive.
196	
197	a. Career instructional faculty members who will have completed five years of employment
198	as a Career faculty member at or above 0.5 annualized FTE per year may initiate the
199	promotion process in the Spring term of the fifth year if they have an expected
200	appointment of 0.5 annualized FTE or greater for the sixth year.
201	
202	b. Career instructional faculty members who have completed more than five years of
203	employment as a Career faculty member at or above 0.5 annualized FTE per year may
204	initiate the promotion process in the Spring term of any year.
205	
206	c. Cases involving positions or terms of service below 0.5 FTE may be considered for
207	promotion by the Office of the Provost in accordance with the principles set forth in this
208	Article.
209	
210	d. Career instructional faculty members who have achieved promotion must wait at least
211	five six-years before initiating the promotion process again (for a promotion review in the
212	subsequent year), regardless of the number of accumulated years of employment.
213	
214	Section 13. Review Period and Election of Criteria. The promotion review period for a Career
215	instructional bargaining unit faculty member will be the time in their current classification and
216	rank, with emphasis on the six most recent years.
217	The house in a subit for sultar month on will be next and for more stice relative to the suitaris in
218 219	The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed for promotion relative to the criteria in effect when the review period began. If the criteria have changed since their hire or previous
219	review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria.
220	review, the faculty member must choose either the earner of current set of criteria.
221	Section 14. Initiating the Promotion Process. Career instructional faculty wishing to be
222	considered for promotion should notify the appropriate department or unit head in the Spring
223	term prior to the year when promotion is sought, and must provide the following materials by
224	Fall of the review year:
226	i an of the restory year.
227	• Curriculum vitae: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae that includes the
228	bargaining unit faculty member's current teaching, professional development, research,
229	scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications,
230	appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomplishments as applicable.
231	

- Personal statement: A 2-6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 232 faculty member evaluating their performance measured against the applicable criteria for 233 promotion. The personal statement should expressly address the subjects of teaching; 234 scholarship, research and creative activity, as applicable; and service contributions to the 235 academic department, center or institute, school or college, university, profession, and the 236 community. The statement should also include discussion of contributions to diversity, 237 equity, and inclusion. 238 239 Teaching portfolio (if applicable): Representative examples of course syllabi or 240 equivalent descriptions of course content and instructional expectations for courses taught 241 by the bargaining unit faculty member, examples of student work and exams, and similar 242 material; information from student experience surveys, which will be considered in light 243 of the response rate; information on the development of new courses and curriculum 244 development; information on contributions to university-wide teaching practices (if 245 applicable). 246 247 Scholarship portfolio (if applicable): A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, 248 research, and creative activity; and appropriate evidence of national or international 249 recognition or impact. 250 251 252 **Service portfolio (if applicable):** Evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, 253 university, profession, and the community, such as op ed pieces, white papers authored or 254 co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, or letters of appreciation. 255 The service portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating on the faculty 256 member's unique service experiences or obligations. 257 258 • **Professional development statement (if applicable):** A statement that provides a short 259 narrative elaborating on the professional development activities of the bargaining unit 260 faculty member related to their job duties. 261 262 **Professional activities portfolio (if applicable):** A comprehensive portfolio of 263 professional or consulting activities related to their discipline. 264 265 • Internal and/or external reviewers (if applicable): A list of qualified internal and/or 266 external reviewers provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. 267 268 Section 15. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit faculty members may choose to 269 waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials. Such 270 waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in a denial 271 review process. The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of the reviewer. If 272 redactions are insufficient to do so, the University may prepare a suitable summary. A waiver 273 274 will be included in the promotion file. 275
- Section 16. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three
 business days' notice of any meeting or hearing which the member is invited or required to

- attend, with a dean, vice provost, or the Office of the Provost regarding recommendations or 278
- decisions on promotion. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union 279 representative present at the meeting as an observer. 280
- 281
- Section 17. Evaluation file. The promotion review file should generally include the following 282 information: 283
- 284 Statement of duties and responsibilities 285 • Curriculum vitae 286 • Conditions of appointment • 287 Criteria for promotion 288 • Personal statement 289 • 290 • Supervisors' letters of evaluation Professional activities portfolio (if applicable) 291 • Teaching portfolio (if applicable) 292 • Scholarship portfolio (if applicable) 293 Service portfolio (if applicable) 294 • Professional development statement (if applicable) • 295 Internal and/or external reviews (if applicable) 296 Department or unit committee recommendation 297 • Department, unit, center, or institute head's recommendation (if applicable) 298 • 299
 - Vice president's, dean's, or director's recommendation
 - Waiver of access to materials (if applicable)
- 300 301

Section 18. Review by Department or Unit. The department or unit head or designee should 302 303 solicit any internal and/or external reviews, as applicable. A department or unit committee will review the file and make a recommendation to the department or unit head. The department or 304 unit head will then prepare an explanation of the merits of the promotion case and a 305 recommendation on the case. The report will include the department or unit-level promotion 306 committee report and recommendation and a voting summary, and the department or unit head's 307 own independent recommendation. The file will then be sent to the appropriate vice president, 308 309 vice provost, dean, or director for review. 310

- Section 19. Review by Vice President, Vice Provost, Dean, or Director. The vice president, 311
- vice provost, dean, or director, as appropriate, will review the file, and may consult with 312 313
- appropriate persons and may ask for and document additional non-confidential information. Once the vice president, vice provost, Provost, dean, or director deems the file complete, they 314
- will prepare a separate memorandum and recommendation. 315
- 316
- The vice president, vice provost, Provost, dean, or director will share their memorandum and 317
- recommendation with the candidate and notify the candidate that they may provide responsive 318
- 319 material for the file within 10 days from the date of receipt of the memorandum; this response
- shall be included in the evaluation file. If the assessment of the dean or vice provost above 320
- differs from that of the department or unit head or the school- or college-level personnel 321
- 322 committee, they will provide an explanation of the reasons underlying their judgment. The vice
- president, vice provost, dean, or director then will submit the complete evaluation file to the 323

324 Office of the Provost.

325

Section 20. Review by the Office of the Provost. By June 1, the Office of the Provost will 326 review the file and decide whether to grant or deny promotion. The candidate will be notified of 327 the decision in writing. If the Office of the Provost decides the review is unsuccessful, the 328 decision letter will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying their decision and any 329 determinations that differ from the previous review levels. In the case of a decision to deny 330 promotion that is contrary to a recommendation of a vice president, vice provost, dean, or 331 director, the decision letter of the Office of the Provost shall include a detailed explanation of 332 reasons for rejecting the recommendation, which shall identify any assessments of merit and 333 representations of fact contained in the recommendation with which the Office of the Provost 334 disagrees. 335 336 337 Section 21. Assumption of New Rank. Successful candidates for promotion will assume their new rank beginning with the next academic or fiscal year or the nearest next term of employment 338 should their appointment not begin with fall term. 339 340 341 **Career Research Promotion Reviews** 342 343 Section 22. Eligibility for Promotion. Career research faculty may elect to initiate the 344 promotion process when eligible to do so. Career faculty members will be eligible for promotion 345 after accumulating six years of employment as a Career faculty member less any credit for prior 346 service granted (Section 8) at or above an average of 0.5 annualized FTE over six years. The six 347 years of employment do not have to be consecutive. 348 349 Career research faculty members who have achieved promotion must wait at least five six-years 350 before initiating the promotion process again (for a promotion review in the subsequent year), 351 352 regardless of the number of accumulated years of employment. 353 Section 23. Review Period and Election of Criteria. The promotion review period for a Career 354 research bargaining unit faculty member will be the time in their current classification and rank. 355 356 The bargaining unit faculty member will be reviewed for promotion relative to the criteria in 357 effect when the review period began. If the criteria have changed since their hire or previous 358 review, the faculty member must choose either the earlier or current set of criteria. 359

360

Section 24. Initiating the Promotion Process for Career Research Faculty. Candidates
 wishing to be considered for promotion should notify the appropriate department or unit head in
 the Spring term prior to the year when promotion is sought, and must provide the following
 materials by Fall of the review year:

365

 Curriculum vitae or resume: A comprehensive and current curriculum vitae or resume that includes the bargaining unit faculty member's current research, scholarly, and creative activities and accomplishments, including publications, appointments, presentations, and similar activities and accomplishments, as applicable.

- 370 Personal statement: A 2-6-page personal statement developed by the bargaining unit 371 • faculty member evaluating their performance measured against the applicable criteria for 372 promotion. The personal statement should expressly address their impact and 373 contribution to research excellence relative to their job duties. This statement should also 374 include discussion of contributions to diversity, equity, and inclusion. 375 376 Scholarship portfolio (if applicable): A comprehensive portfolio of scholarship, 377 research, and creative activity; and appropriate evidence of national or international 378 recognition or impact. 379 380 **Service portfolio (if applicable):** Evidence of the bargaining unit faculty member's 381 • service contributions to their academic department, center or institute, school or college, 382 university, profession, and the community, such as op ed pieces, white papers authored or 383 co-authored by the faculty member, commendations, awards, or letters of appreciation. 384 The portfolio may also include a short narrative elaborating on the faculty member's 385 unique service experiences or obligations. 386 387 • Professional activities portfolio (if applicable): A comprehensive portfolio of 388 professional or consulting activities related to their discipline. 389 390 • List of reviewers (if applicable): A list of qualified internal and/or external reviewers 391 provided by the bargaining unit faculty member. Normally, external reviews are not 392 393 expected for those in the research assistant ranks. 394 Section 25. Waiver of Access to Materials. Bargaining unit faculty members may choose to 395 396 waive in advance in writing their access to see any or all of the evaluative materials. Such waivers, however, shall not preclude the use of redacted versions of these documents in a denial 397 review process. The redacted versions are intended to protect the identity of the reviewer. If 398 redactions are insufficient to do so, the University may prepare a suitable summary. A waiver 399 400 will be included in the promotion file. 401 Section 26. Notice of Meetings. A bargaining unit faculty member will receive at least three 402 business days' notice of any meeting or hearing which the member is invited or required to 403 404 attend, with a dean, vice provost, or the Office of the Provost regarding recommendations or decisions on promotion. The bargaining unit faculty member may have a colleague or Union 405 representative present at the meeting as an observer. 406 407 Section 27. Evaluation file. The promotion review file should generally include the following 408 409 information: 410 Statement of duties and responsibilities 411 • Curriculum vitae 412 • Conditions of appointment 413
- 414 Criteria for promotion
- 415 Personal statement

• Supervisors' letters of evaluation

416

- Professional activities portfolio (if applicable) 417 • Scholarship portfolio (if applicable) 418 • Service portfolio (if applicable) 419 • Internal and/or external reviews (if applicable) 420 • Department, unit, center, or institute head's recommendation 421 Vice president's, dean's, or director's recommendation 422 • Waiver of access to materials (if applicable) 423 • 424 Section 28. Review by Department Head or Unit Director or Manager. The department or 425 unit head or designee should solicit any internal and/or external reviews, as applicable. The 426 427 department or unit head will then review the file, including any internal or external reviews, and 428 prepare a recommendation and an explanation of the merits of the promotion case. The file will then be sent to the appropriate vice president, vice provost, or dean for review. In the event that 429 the unit head is the faculty member's supervisor/director/manager, the supervisor letter of 430 evaluation and the unit head review may be combined into a single recommendation. 431 432 Section 29. Review by Vice President, Vice Provost, Dean, or Director. The vice president or 433 dean, as appropriate, will review the file, and may consult with appropriate persons and may ask 434 for and document additional non-confidential information. Once the vice president or dean 435 deems the file complete, they will prepare a separate memorandum with a recommendation. 436 437 438 If the vice president, vice provost, dean, or director's assessment differs from that of the department or unit committee or the department or unit head, the memorandum and 439 recommendation will provide an explanation of the reasons underlying their judgment. 440 441 The vice president, vice provost, dean, or director will share their memorandum and 442 recommendation with the candidate and notify the candidate that they may provide responsive 443 material for the file within 10 days from the date of receipt of the memorandum. This response 444 shall be included in the evaluation file. The vice president, vice provost, dean, or director then 445 will submit the complete evaluation file to the Office of the Provost. 446 447 Section 30. Review by the Office of the Provost. By June 1, the Office of the Provost will 448 449 review the file, with appropriate input, and decide whether to grant or deny promotion. The candidate will be notified of the decision in writing. If the Provost decides the review is 450 unsuccessful, the decision letter will contain an explanation of the reasons underlying their 451 decision and any determinations that differ from the previous review levels. In the case of a 452 decision to deny promotion that is contrary to a recommendation of a vice president, vice 453 provost, dean, or director, the decision letter of the Office of the Provost shall include a detailed 454 explanation of reasons for rejecting the recommendation, which shall identify any assessments of 455 merit and representations of fact contained in the recommendation with which the Office of the 456 Provost disagrees. 457 458 Section 31. Assumption of New Rank. Successful candidates for promotion will assume their 459 new rank beginning with the fiscal year following notification of their promotion, or other date 460
- 461 as approved, by the Office of the Provost, whichever comes first.

462	
463	
464	Career Continuous Employment Reviews
465	
466	Section 32. Purpose. The primary function of Career Continuous Employment Reviews is to
467	foster continued professional growth and reward excellence. Career Continuous Employment
468	Reviews are optional.
469	
470	Section 33. Eligibility. To be eligible for a Career Continuous Employment Review, a
471	bargaining member must satisfy the following:
472	a. Must have a Career appointment in a single-rank category or at the highest rank in their
473	category; and,
474	b. Must have an annualized 0.5 FTE or greater; and,
475	c. Must have accumulated six years of service at 0.5 FTE or greater, as measured from their
476	appointment in the single-rank category, since achieving promotion to the highest rank in
477	their category, or since their most recent Career Continuous Employment Review.
478	
479	Section 34. Process. To initiate the Career Continuous Employment Review, the eligible
480	bargaining unit faculty member must notify their department or unit head consistent with Section
481	14 or 24, as appropriate. Continuous employment reviews for Career faculty will generally
482	mirror the scope and process for Career instructional or Career research promotion reviews to the
483	highest rank. If the final 'Review by the Office of the Provost' (Section 20 or 30 equivalent) in a
484	Continuous Employment Review determines that the bargaining unit faculty member's
485	performance in all categories meets or exceeds expectations, the bargaining unit faculty member
486	will receive an increase to their base salary per Article 26. Senior Instructor IIs and Senior
487	Lecturer IIs that exceed expectations in all categories on a Career Continuous Employment
488	Review may be nominated by their dean to be considered by the Provost for the Distinguished
489	Teaching Professor award under Appendix 4.
+05	reaching recessor amara ander repondix i.

Tentative Agreement (1/24/2025):

Jan 28, 2025

Jan 27, 2025

Nathan Whalen (United Academics)

201 (

Chris Meade (University of Oregon)